22

23

24

25

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

HETALKUMAR C. SHAH, M.D.

Holder of License No. 25006 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona. Case No. MD-23-0011A, MD-23-0243A

ORDER FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND AND PROBATION; AND CONSENT TO THE SAME

Hetalkumar C. Shah, M.D. ("Respondent") elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal with respect to this Order for Letter of Reprimand and Probation; admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board"); and consents to the entry of this Order by the Board.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 25006 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

#### MD-23-0011A

- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-23-0011A after receiving notification of a malpractice settlement involving Respondent's care and treatment alleging improper management of a twin pregnancy with subsequent stillborn and death of other twin at 3 years old.
- 4. On March 26, 2018, RM a 27 year-old female was seen by a Physician Assistant ("PA") at the Clinic where Respondent holds privileges. RM was diagnosed with a dichorionic-diamniotic (di-di) twin pregnancy.
- 5. On June 4, 2018, RM presented to the Clinic and was seen at 17 weeks gestation by Respondent. An ultrasound was performed and normal cervical length was noted.

- 6. On July 2, 2018, RM presented to the Clinic and was seen by a Nurse Practitioner ("NP"). The ultrasound report noted di-di twins.
- 7. On August 3, 2018, RM presented to the Clinic and was seen by an NP. The ultrasound noted growth discordance to be minimal at 4.7%.
- 8. On August 15, 2018, RM called the office reporting cramping. The NP called RM but the discussion was not documented.
- 9. On August 20, 2018, RM presented to the Clinic at 28 weeks gestation and was seen by Respondent. Twin B was noted to have no fetal heart tones ("FHT"). RM was transferred to a Hospital. An ultrasound confirmed fetal demise of twin B. RM was seen by maternal fetal medicine ("MFM"). Twin A was noted to have hydrops and elevated MCA dopplers, indicating severe anemia. RM was transferred to a Second Hospital where a MFM ultrasound showed a monochorionic-diamniotic (mono-di) pregnancy and a 10% growth discordance with twin B polyhydramnios.
- 10. On August 22, 2018, RM underwent a percutaneous umbilical blood sampling (PUBS) procedure at the Second Hospital with fetal hemoglobin increase from 5.8 to 14.2. RM was discharged from the Second Hospital on August 26, 2018.
- 11. On August 28, 2018, RM was seen by a Perinatologist for follow-up. Twin A was noted to have ventriculomegaly and an MRI was ordered that showed Monochorionic-diamniotic twin gestation with known fetal demise of twin B. Additionally, the MRI showed extensive supratentorial encephalomalacia of twin A, most likely from a severe hypoxic ischemic event.
- 12. On September 21, 2018, RM presented to the Second Hospital at 32 weeks and 6 days gestation with breech presentation and advanced cervical dilation. A C-section was performed. Twin A's Apgar scores were 8/9 and twin B was stillborn. Twin A was

transferred to a Children's Hospital and a Hospice provider was involved due to poor neurological prognosis, underdeveloped kidneys, and renal failure.

- 13. On May 10, 2022, VR, twin A, expired. The death certificate listed the cause of death as end stage renal failure due to renal dysplasia.
- 1. The standard of care requires a physician to establish the chorionicity of a twin pregnancy at an early gestation. Respondent deviated from this standard of care by failing to diagnose the correct chorionicity of a twin pregnancy in early gestation.
- 2. The standard of care requires a physician to refer a high risk obstetrical patient to a maternal fetal medicine physician. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to refer a high risk obstetrical patient to a maternal fetal medicine physician.
- 3. Actual patient harm was identified in that win-twin transfusion syndrome ("TTTS") occurred and was not timely diagnosed. Twin B experienced fetal demise and Twin A developed hydrops and neurological damage and subsequently died at age 3.
- 4. There was the potential for patient harm in that RM and other family members likely experienced mental suffering as a result of the demise of Twins A and B.

#### MD-23-0243A

- 5. The Board initiated case number MD-23-0243A after receiving notification of a malpractice settlement regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a 27 year-old female patient ("AC") alleging failure to refer a patient to an MFM physician, inadequate obstetrical care and treatment of a patient with chronic hypertension and diabetes, and inappropriate supervision of a PA.
- 6. On July 25, 2019, AC established obstetric care with Respondent in her first trimester at 10 weeks' gestation. AC had a history of morbid obesity, hypertension, and pre-diabetes for which she had been prescribed Losartan and Metformin. AC reported to Respondent that she had not been taking the medications. AC had a medical marijuana

card and admitted to using marijuana. AC's weight was noted to be 202lbs. AC's blood pressure ("BP") was 158/97. Respondent discontinued the Losartan and prescribed AC Labetalol 100mg twice daily.

- 7. On August 22, 2019, AC presented to Respondent for follow-up at 15+ weeks. AC's BP was 144/107 and her weight was 207lbs.
- 8. On September 18, 2019, AC presented to Respondent's office for follow-up at 19+ weeks. AC's BP was 144/92 and her weight was 214lbs. AC refused to do lab testing due to lack of insurance coverage.
- 9. On October 18, 2019, AC presented to Respondent's office for follow-up. AC's BP was 135/94 and her weight was 225lbs.
- 10. On November 13, 2019, AC presented to Respondent's office for follow-up at 27 weeks' gestation. AC's BP was 157/102 and her weight was 231lbs. A 1-hour glucola test showed a blood sugar of 175 and a 3-hour glucose tolerance test ("GTT") was complete with all values being abnormal (106/247/290/188). Respondent's plan was for AC to take blood sugar checks four times daily and change her diet.
- 11. On December 4, 2019, AC presented to Respondent's office for follow-up at 30 weeks gestation. AC was seen by a PA as Respondent was at the hospital for a delivery. AC's BP was 197/133. A 14lb weight gain over three weeks was noted. The PA contacted Respondent about seeing the patient himself and Respondent asked that the patient wait to be seen by him. The PA increased AC's Labetalol from 100mg to 200mg twice daily with instructions for BP monitoring at home and symptoms that would require evaluation at the hospital. When Respondent returned and went to see the patient, she had left without making a follow-up appointment.

- 12. On December 13, 2019, AC was found expired at home. The death certificate listed the cause of death as heart failure and hypertensive cardio-vascular disease in the setting of intrauterine pregnancy.
- 13. On December 17, 2019, the PA made a late entry into the medical record about the patient encounter on December 4, 2019.
- 14. The standard of care requires a physician to provide adequate obstetrical care and treatment. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to provide adequate care and treatment to a morbidly obese obstetrical patient with co-morbidities.
- 15. The standard of care requires a physician to refer a high risk obstetrical patient to a maternal fetal medicine physician. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to refer a high risk obstetrical patient to a maternal fetal medicine physician.
- 16. The standard of care requires a physician to appropriately supervise a physician assistant. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to appropriately supervise a physician assistant.
  - 17. Actual patient harm occurred in that the patient expired.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- a. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- b. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e)("Failing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient.").
- c. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r)("Committing any conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.").

d. The conduct and circumstances in MD-23-0243A above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(jj) ("Exhibiting a lack of or inappropriate direction, collaboration or direct supervision of a medical assistant or a licensed, certified or registered health care provider employed by, supervised by or assigned to the physician.").

### ORDER

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- 2. Respondent is placed on Probation for a period of 1 year with the following terms and conditions:

# a. Continuing Medical Education/Personalized Improvement Plan

Respondent shall within 6 months of the effective date of this Order obtain no less than 6 hours of Board Staff pre-approved Category I Continuing Medical Education ("CME") in the management of high risk pregnancies. Additionally, Respondent shall complete the intensive, virtual medical recordkeeping course offered by the Center for Personalized Education for Physicians ("CPEP"). Respondent shall within thirty days of the effective date of this Order submit his request for pregnancy management CME to the Board for pre-approval, and proof of enrollment in the CPEP medical recordkeeping course. Upon completion of the CME, Respondent shall provide Board staff with satisfactory proof of attendance. The CME hours shall be in addition to the hours required for the biennial renewal of medical licensure.

Within 30 days of successful completion of the CPEP CME, Respondent shall enroll in the Personalized Implementation Program ("PIP") with successful completion. Respondent shall comply with any and all requirements and practice recommendations made by his PIP reviewer as well as follow any and all recommendations made for further

education and/or remediation by the PIP, subject to the approval of the Board or its staff. Respondent shall provide Board staff with proof that he successfully completed the PIP. Respondent shall sign any and all consents or releases necessary to allow for CPEP to communicate to the Board directly. Respondent shall be responsible for the expenses of participation in the PIP, and shall notify the Board staff of enrollment in the PIP. Respondent shall not revoke any release prior to successful completion of the CME and PIP.

## b. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state, federal and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

## c. Tolling

In the event Respondent should leave Arizona to reside or practice outside the State or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in Arizona, Respondent shall notify the Executive Director in writing within ten days of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within Arizona. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days during which Respondent is not engaging in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside Arizona or of non-practice within Arizona, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

## d. Probation Termination

Prior to the termination of Probation, Respondent must submit a written request to the Board for release from the terms of this Order. Respondent's request for release will be placed on the next pending Board agenda, provided a complete submission is received by Board staff no less than 30 days prior to the Board meeting. Respondent's request for release must provide the Board with evidence establishing that she has successfully

satisfied all of the terms and conditions of this Order. The Board has the sole discretion to determine whether all of the terms and conditions of this Order have been met or whether to take any other action that is consistent with its statutory and regulatory authority.

3. The Board retains jurisdiction and may initiate new action against Respondent based upon any violation of this Order. A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(s)

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this \_\_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2024.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

Patricia E. McSorley
Executive Director

## CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Order"). Respondent acknowledges he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter.
- 2. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 3. By consenting to this Order, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court on the matters alleged, or to challenge this Order in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of action related thereto or arising from said Order.
- 4. The Order is not effective until approved by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 5. All admissions made by Respondent in this Order are solely for final disposition of this matter and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil

litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, said admissions by Respondent are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, civil or criminal court proceeding, in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court.

- 6. Notwithstanding any language in this Order, this Order does not preclude in any way any other State agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not limited to, violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Order makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivisions of the State relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.
- 7. Upon signing this agreement, and returning this document (or a copy thereof) to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke the consent to the entry of the Order. Respondent may not make any modifications to the document. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties.
- 8. This Order is a public record that will be publicly disseminated as a formal disciplinary action of the Board and will be reported to the National Practitioner's Data Bank and on the Board's web site as a disciplinary action.
- 9. If any part of the Order is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Order in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.

If the Board does not adopt this Order, Respondent will not assert as a 10. 1 defense that the Board's consideration of the Order constitutes bias, prejudice, 2 prejudgment or other similar defense. 3 Any violation of this Order constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result 4 in disciplinary action. A.R.S. § § 32-1401(27)(s) ("[v]iolating a formal order, probation, 5 consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive 6 7 director under this chapter.") and 32-1451. Respondent acknowledges that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2501(16), he 12. 8 cannot act as a supervising physician for a physician assistant while his license is on 9 10 probation. Respondent has read and understands the conditions of probation. 11 13. 12 13 HÉTALKUMAR C. SHAH, M.D. 14 15 EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed 16 this who day of \, 2024 to: 17 Hetalkumar C. Shah, M.D. Address of Record 18 19 Frederick Cummings, Esq. Gust Rosenfeld P.L.C. 20 One East Washington Street, Ste 1600 Phoenix, AZ 85004 ·21 Attorney for Respondent 22 ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed 23 this  $\int_{0}^{\infty} day \text{ of } \int_{0}^{\infty} day \text$ 

24

25

Arizona Medical Board

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1740 West Adams, Suite 4000

DATED: 05/10/10TY