BEFORE THE ARIZO	ONA MEDICAL BOARD
In the Matter of	Case No.23A-46670-MDX
SHEKHAR C. THAKUR, M.D.,	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
Holder of License No. 46670 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona.	(Revocation)
On June 9, 2023, this matter came b	⊐ pefore the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") for
consideration of Administrative Law Judg	ge ("ALJ") Tammy L. Eigenheer's proposed
indings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	d Recommended Order. Shekhar C. Thakur,
1.D., ("Respondent") did not appear;	Assistant Attorney General Carrie Smith
epresented the State. Assistant Attorney G	General Diane DeDea was available to provide
ndependent legal advice to the Board.	
The Board, having considered the	ALJ's Decision and the entire record in this
natter, hereby issues the following Findings	s of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.
FINDING	S OF FACT
1. The Arizona Medical Board	(the Board) is the authority for the regulation
nd control of the practice of allopathic med	licine in the State of Arizona.
2. Shekhar C. Thakur, M.D. (Res	spondent) is the holder of Board-issued License
lo. 46670 for the practice of allopathic medi	cine in the State of Arizona.
3. On or about August 22, 202	22, the Board initiated case number MD-22-
0809A after receiving a Disciplinary Action	Report from the Federation of State Medical
Boards that the Michigan Board of Medio	cine (Michigan Board) had taken disciplinary
action against Respondent's Michigan med	ical license.
4. On or about July 26, 2022	, the Michigan Board issued a Final Order
suspending Respondent's license for six m	onths and one day and voiding Respondent's
controlled substance license (Michigan Bo	pard Order). ¹ The Michigan Board Order was
¹ The Michigan Board Order was signed on July 2 that the Michigan Board Order was issued on Augus	6, 2022, but the complaint filed with the Board indicated it 25, 2022.

based on Respondent's failure to respond to an administrative complaint alleging
significant deficiencies in Respondent's controlled substance prescribing practices.

3

5. On or about August 23, 2022, Board staff notified Respondent via email of the investigation. Respondent was not required to respond to the notification at that time.

4

5

6

7

6. On or about August 31, 2022, Board staff notified Respondent via email that the investigation in this matter had been moved for further review. Respondent was instructed to provide a complete narrative of his response to the Disciplinary Action Report no later than September 13, 2022.

7. On September 8, 2022, the Board also received correspondence copied from
Walmart's Controlled Substance Compliance Department to Respondent informing him that
Walmart and Sam's Club pharmacies would no longer fill prescriptions he wrote for
controlled substances based on their review of Respondent's controlled substance
prescribing patterns and practices.

12

13

8. On or about September 8, 2022, Board staff notified Respondent via email and regular mail that an additional complaint had been received. Respondent was instructed to provide a complete narrative response no later than September 22, 2022.

9. Respondent did not provide a complete narrative response to the August 31,
2022, or September 8, 2022, notices by the deadlines set forth in the notices.

10. On or about October 20, 2022, Board staff notified Respondent via email that
the investigation was near completion. Respondent was notified that no additional
response was required, but if he chose to respond, he was required to do so in writing no
later than November 3, 2022.

19

11. Respondent did not provide a response by November 3, 2022.

12. On or about January 9, 2023, Board staff offered Respondent an Interim
Consent Agreement for Practice Restriction via email and regular mail. Respondent was
instructed to return a signed copy of the agreement no later than January 12, 2023.

13. Respondent did not return a signed copy of the Interim Consent Agreement
for Practice Restriction by January 12, 2023

2414.On or about January 19, 2023, Board staff notified Respondent via email that25the matter would be considered at the Board meeting on January 20, 2023.

2

1 15. During the Board's consideration of the above captioned matter on January
20, 2023, Board staff presented the foregoing. Board members noted the serious nature
of the Michigan Board's findings, and expressed concerns regarding Respondent's ability
to be regulated. Based on the evidence presented, the Board voted unanimously to
summarily suspend Respondent's license.

5 6

7

8

9

16. On or about January 30, 2023, Respondent submitted a signed copy of the Interim Consent Agreement for Practice Restriction to Board staff via email from Respondent's email address of record.

17. The Board referred this matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), an independent state agency, for an evidentiary hearing on the allegations and charged acts of unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(p), (t), and (ee) as set forth in the Board's February 27, 2023 Complaint and Notice of Hearing.

11

12

10

18. The Complaint and Notice of Hearing set a hearing before OAH at 9:00 a.m. on April 6, 2023. The Board mailed the Complaint and Notice of Hearing to Respondent via certified mail, regular mail, and email to his address of record.

13
19. Respondent did not request to appear telephonically or virtually at the
14
15
16
19. Respondent did not request to appear telephonically or virtually at the
19. Respondent did not convene until 9:25 a.m. and did not
19. Conclude until 9:48 a.m., Respondent did not appear, personally or through an attorney,
10. and did not contact OAH. Consequently, Respondent did not present any evidence to
10. defend his license to practice allopathic medicine in Arizona.

17
20. The Board submitted 8 exhibits and presented the testimony of Natalie
¹⁸
¹⁸ Migdal, Senior Medical Investigator with the Board, who investigated the complaints against
¹⁹ Respondent.

20
21. The Board included in the exhibits the Complaint and Notice of Hearing sent
to Respondent's address of record via certified mail that returned to sender as "unable to
forward."

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

231. The Arizona Board The Complaint and Notice of Hearing that the Board24mailed to Respondent at his address and email address of record was reasonable, and

25

22

1 Respondent is deemed to have received notice of the hearing. See A.R.S. § 41-1092.04; A.R.S. § 41-1061(A). 2

2. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter in this case.

3. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(G)(2) and A.A.C. R2-19-119(B), the Board 5 has the burden of proof in this matter. The standard of proof is by clear and convincing evidence. A.R.S. § 32-1451.04. 6

The evidence established that Respondent's Michigan medical license was 4. suspended. Therefore, the Board established that Respondent committed unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(p).²

5. The evidence established that Respondent failed to promptly notify the Board of a change in his address as evidenced by the returned mailing sent to his address of record. Therefore, the Board established that Respondent committed unprofessional conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(t),³ namely A.R.S. § 32-1435(A).⁴

12 6. The evidence established that Respondent failed to respond to any of the 13 numerous communications from the Board after August 23, 2022, by the deadlines 14 established in each communication. Respondent's only response to the Board was to return a signed copy of the Interim Consent Agreement for Practice Restriction after the 15 Board had summarily suspended his license based, in part, on his failure to respond by the 16 deadline. Therefore, the Board established that Respondent committed unprofessional 17 conduct as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(ee).⁵

18

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

19

20 21

22

² A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(p) includes in the definition of unprofessional conduct, Having action taken against a doctor of medicine by another licensing or regulatory jurisdiction due to that doctor's mental or physical inability to engage safely in the practice of medicine or the doctor's medical incompetence or for unprofessional conduct as defined by that jurisdiction and that corresponds directly or indirectly to an act of unprofessional conduct prescribed by this paragraph.

³ A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(t) includes in the definition of unprofessional conduct, Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation

- 23 of or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter. ⁴ A.R.S. § 32-1435(A) requires as follows:
- 24 Each active licensee shall promptly and in writing inform the board of the licensee's current residence address, office address and telephone number and of each change in residence 25 address, office address or telephone number that may later occur.

⁵ A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(ee) includes in the definition of unprofessional conduct,

1 2	7. The Arizona legislature created the Board to protect the public. <i>See</i> Laws 1992, Ch. 316, § 10. Respondent's absolute failure to respond to any communications from the Board regarding the complaint establish that he cannot be regulated at this time.
3 4	Therefore, the Board should revoke Respondent's license to practice allopathic medicine.
5	ORDER
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Based on the foregoing, it is ORDERED that on the effective date of the Board's final order in this matter, the Board's summary suspension of License No. 46670 for the practice of allopathic medicine in Arizona previously issued to Respondent Shekhar C. Thakur, M.D. be affirmed and said license be revoked. It is further ordered that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451(M), ⁶ Shekhar C. Thakur, M.D. be charged for the cost of the formal hearing as determined by the Board. Dr. Thakur shall pay the Board \$937.30 by certified funds, within 90 days of the effective date of this Order.
21 22 23	Failing to furnish information in a timely manner to the board or the board's investigators or
24 25	representatives if legally requested by the board. ⁶ A.R.S. § 32-1451(M) provides, in pertinent part, as follows: The board may charge the costs of formal hearings to the licensee who it finds to be in violation of this chapter.

1	RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW
2	Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or
3	review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive
4	Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The
	petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a
5	rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days
6	after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to
7	Respondent.
8	Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is
9	required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.
10	
11	DATED this 12th day of June 2023.
12	THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD
13	
14	By Patrice &. Whe Sa ley
	Patricia E. McSorley
15	Executive Director
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	6

1 2	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 12th day of June, 2023 with:
2 3 4	Arizona Medical Board 1740 W. Adams, Suite 4000 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	COPY of the foregoing filed this 12th day of June 2023 with:
6 7 8	Greg Hanchett, Director Office of Administrative Hearings 1740 W. Adams Phoenix, AZ 85007
9	Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Mail and emailed
10 11	this 12th day of June, 2023 to:
12	Shekhar C. Thakur, M.D. Address of Record
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Carrie H. Smith Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General SGD/LES 2005 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004 By: <u>Michael Mathia</u> Arizona Medical Board
20	# 11264890
21 22	
23 24	
25	