3

9

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

STUART L. POSNER, M.D.

Holder of License No. 9295 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona. Case No. MD-22-0103A

ORDER FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND AND PROBATION WITH PRACTICE RESTRICTION; AND CONSENT TO THE SAME

Stuart L. Posner, M.D. ("Respondent") elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal with respect to this Order for Letter of Reprimand and Probation with Practice Restriction; admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board"); and consents to the entry of this Order by the Board.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 9295 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-22-0103A after receiving a complaint regarding Respondent's care and treatment of six patients alleging inappropriate controlled substance prescribing. Based on the complaint, Board staff requested Medical Consultant ("MC") review of Respondent's care and treatment of SG, RW, PM, DH, PH, and MK.
- 4. SG was a 59-year-old female with a medical history of chronic pain syndrome, inflammatory osteoarthritis, and osteoporosis. Respondent prescribed SG medication including Soma 350mg every 6 hours, MS Contin 15mg daily, and oxycodone 15mg every four hours.
- 5. RW was a 57-year-old female with a medical history of rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, and degenerative spondylosis as well as a lumbar laminectomy in 2019.

Respondent prescribed RW medications including Soma 350mg twice daily, oxycodone 15mg three times daily, trazodone 100mg at bedtime, and MS Contin 60mg three times daily. Additionally, Respondent treated RW with low dose prednisone, Actemra and administered frequent Kenalog injections.

- 6. PM was a 57-year-old female with a medical history of fibromyalgia, osteoporosis, and chronic pain. Respondent prescribed PM medications including oxycodone 10mg every six hours, Norco 5/325mg every six hours, Cymbalta 60mg daily, and Lyrica 150mg 2 tabs at bedtime in addition to administering frequent steroid injections. PM was referred to psychiatry for depression.
- 7. DH was a 57-year-old female with a medical history of stage IV juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, chronic back pain, and osteoarthritis. DH also had a history of reconstructive hand and elbow surgery, foot surgery and posterior cervical spine fusion. Respondent prescribed DH medications including oxycodone 15mg every eight hours and MS Contin 30mg three times daily. Respondent also prescribed DH low dose prednisone and Humira.
- 8. PH was a 48-year-old female with a medical history of chronic pain syndrome, possible inflammatory arthritis, osteoarthritis with knee replacement, fibromyalgia, and morbid obesity. Respondent prescribed PH medications including Soma 350mg twice daily, oxycodone 10mg every four hours, and Plaquenil 200mg twice daily as well as administering frequent steroid injections.
- 9. MK was a 60-year-old female with a medical history of psoriatic arthritis vs rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis, and fibromyalgia. Respondent prescribed MK medications including Percocet 10/325mg every 4-6 hours, gabapentin 300mg at bedtime, Plaquenil 200mg daily, and cyclobenzaprine 10mg twice daily. Respondent also administered frequent steroid injections. Respondent referred MK to pain management.

MK was terminated from care in 2020 and again in December 2021 due to verbal abuse of staff.

- 10. The standard of care prohibits a physician from prescribing opioids for long term use without justification. The Board concludes that Respondent deviated from the standard of care for Patients SG, PM, DH and PH by prescribing opioids for long term use without justification.
- 11. The standard of care prohibits a physician from prescribing opioids and Soma concurrently without justification. The Board concludes that Respondent deviated from the standard of care for Patients SG, RW, and PH by prescribing opioids and Soma concurrently for long term use without justification.
- 12. The standard of care prohibits a physician from prescribing Soma for long term use without justification. The Board concludes that Respondent deviated from the standard of care for Patients SG, RW and PH prescribing Soma for long term use without justification.
- 13. The Board further concludes that there was the potential for patient harm in that all patients were at risk of dependence, addiction, respiratory depression, overdose, and death.
- 14. Effective May 17, 2023, Respondent entered into an Interim Practice Restriction prohibiting him from prescribing controlled substances in the State of Arizona pending the outcome of this investigation.
- 15. Respondent is currently retired from the practice of medicine, but would like to retain his ability to return to practice at a future date.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

a. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.

- b. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e)("Failing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient").
- c. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r)("Committing any conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public").

## <u>ORDER</u>

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.
- 2. Respondent is placed on Probation with the following terms and conditions:

### a. Practice Restriction

Respondent's practice is restricted in that he is prohibited from prescribing controlled substances in the State of Arizona.

## b. Continuing Medical Education

Respondent shall no less than 30 days prior to returning to the practice of medicine, obtain no less than 10 hours of Board Staff pre-approved Category I CME in an intensive, in-person course regarding medical recordkeeping. Respondent shall at least **thirty days** prior to the date of the proposed CME submit his request for CME to the Board for pre-approval. Upon completion of the CME, Respondent shall provide Board staff with satisfactory proof of attendance. The CME hours shall be in addition to the hours required for the biennial renewal of medical licensure.

## c. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state, federal and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

## d. Probation Termination

This Order is permanent for the duration of Respondent's licensure and shall not terminate except upon cancellation, expiration, revocation, or surrender of Respondent's license.

3. The Board retains jurisdiction and may initiate new action against Respondent based upon any violation of this Order. A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(s)

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

Patricia E. McSorley
Executive Director

# CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and the stipulated Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Order"). Respondent acknowledges he has the right to consult with legal counsel regarding this matter.
- Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 3. By consenting to this Order, Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to a hearing or judicial review in state or federal court on the matters alleged, or to challenge this Order in its entirety as issued by the Board, and waives any other cause of action related thereto or arising from said Order.
- The Order is not effective until approved by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.

24

25

- 5. Although Respondent does not agree that the Findings of Fact set forth in this Consent Agreement are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that, if this matter proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could establish sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct. Therefore, Respondent has agreed to enter into this Consent Agreement as an economical and practical means of resolving the issues associated with the complaint filed against Respondent. Further, Respondent acknowledges that the Board may use the evidence in its possession relating to this Consent Agreement for purposes of determining sanctions in any further disciplinary matter.
- 6. Notwithstanding any language in this Order, this Order does not preclude in any way any other State agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not limited to, violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Order makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivisions of the State relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.
- 7. Upon signing this agreement, and returning this document (or a copy thereof) to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke the consent to the entry of the Order. Respondent may not make any modifications to the document. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties.

- 8. This Order is a public record that will be publicly disseminated as a formal disciplinary action of the Board and will be reported to the National Practitioner's Data Bank and on the Board's web site as a disciplinary action.
- If any part of the Order is later declared void or otherwise unanforceable, the remainder of the Order in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.
- 10. If the Board does not adopt this Order, Respondent will not essert as a defense that the Board's consideration of the Order constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudice.
- 11. Any violation of this Order constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. § § 32-1401(27)(s) ("[v]]lolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or alignment of security executive director under this chapter.") and 32-1451.
- 12. Respondent acknowledges that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2501(16), he cannot act as a supervising physician for a physician assistant while his license is on probation.
  - 13. Respondent has read and understands the conditions of probation.

Shunt	LIB	WED M	Q
STUART L. P			

DATED: 02/25/24

- 1		
1	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed	
2	this Th day of March, 2023 to:	
3	Stuart L. Posner, M.D.	
4	Address of Record	
5	Stephen A. Bullington, Esq. Jones, Skelton & Hochuli, P.L.C.	
6	40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
7	Attorney for Respondent	
8	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed	
9	this 7th day of Much, 2023 wit	
10	Arizona Medical Board	
11	1740 West Adams, Suite 4000 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
12	Michelle Rodes	
13	Board staff	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	_	